

| | | |
|---|--------------------------------------|-------------------------|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
| | 10/050,279 | FANDL ET AL. |
| | Examiner Daniel M Sullivan | Art Unit 1636 |

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Daniel M Sullivan.

(3) _____.

(2) Valeta Gregg.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 31 January 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

89,93,94-96,98,102,105,114,121,122 and 135-137

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The parties discussed the restriction requirement and amendments to the claims set forth in the attached Examiner's Amendment.

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)